

FILED

2007 FEB 13 AM 11:08

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

June 2005 Grand Jury

07 CR 0329 LAB

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Criminal Case No. _____
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<u>I N D I C T M E N T</u>
	)	
v.	)	Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 371 -
	)	Conspiracy; Title 18, U.S.C.,
KYLE DUSTIN FOGGO (1),	)	Secs. 1343 and 1346 - Honest
aka "Dusty" Foggo,	)	Services Wire Fraud; Title 18,
BRENT ROGER WILKES (2),	)	U.S.C., Sec. 1957 - Money
	)	Laundering; Title 18, U.S.C.,
Defendants.	)	Sec. 2 - Aiding and Abetting
	)	

The Grand Jury charges:

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

1. From on or about July 6, 2001 to about November 3, 2004, defendant KYLE DUSTIN FOGGO, aka "Dusty" Foggo, was the senior officer in charge of support operations at an "Overseas Location" of the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA"), and as such directed the Overseas Location's daily operations supplying equipment to personnel overseas.

2. From on or about November 4, 2004 to about May 12, 2006, defendant FOGGO was the Executive Director of the CIA (then the third-highest position in the CIA), and as such directed the CIA's daily operations.

SB:JAF:PLBH:nlv:San Diego  
2/13/07

1           3.    As a CIA employee, defendant FOGGO owed the United States  
2 and its citizens his honest services, including his loyal, faithful,  
3 disinterested, unbiased service, to be performed free of deceit, undue  
4 influence, conflict of interest, self-enrichment, self-dealing,  
5 concealment, fraud, and corruption.

6           4.    As a public official, FOGGO had a responsibility to place  
7 loyalty to the United States, and its Constitution, laws, and ethical  
8 principles, above private gain.    Among other things, FOGGO was  
9 prohibited from using or permitting the use of his office in a manner  
10 intended to coerce or induce another, including a subordinate, to  
11 provide any benefit to himself or his friends.

12          5.    From in or about 1993 through in or about 2005, defendant  
13 FOGGO completed ethics training approximately eight times, and served  
14 approximately two years as a Deputy Ethics Official.

15          6.    At all times material to this indictment, defendant BRENT  
16 ROGER WILKES owned and controlled ADCS, Inc. and numerous related  
17 entities, including Archer Defense Technologies, Inc., Group W  
18 Advisors Inc., Group W Transportation Inc., and Wilkes Corporation,  
19 which WILKES ran as a consortium of related companies (hereinafter  
20 referred to as "WILKES's companies").

21          7.    From late 2002 on, WILKES's companies' main corporate office  
22 was at 13970 Stowe Drive, Poway, California. WILKES's companies also  
23 maintained an office located at 14020 Thunderbolt Place, Chantilly,  
24 Virginia.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //



1 Services Wire Fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code,  
2 Sections 1343 and 1346.

3 METHODS AND MEANS

4 10. The conspirators used the following methods and means, among  
5 others, to carry out the objects of the conspiracy:

6 a. Defendant WILKES and other conspirators provided things  
7 of value to defendant FOGGO, and defendant FOGGO accepted these things  
8 of value.

9 b. Defendant FOGGO agreed to be corruptly influenced in  
10 his performance of his official duties.

11 c. The coconspirators misrepresented and concealed  
12 material facts in dealings with the CIA and its employees. Such  
13 material facts included defendant WILKES's role and interest in CIA  
14 contract matters, defendant FOGGO's receipt and expectation of  
15 benefits from WILKES and WILKES' companies, and defendant FOGGO's  
16 life-long friendship with defendant WILKES.

17 d. The coconspirators created and used shell companies and  
18 straw men to conceal defendant WILKES's financial interest and role  
19 in CIA contracts, and to launder money obtained from CIA contracts.

20 e. Defendant FOGGO used his seniority and influence within  
21 the CIA to influence CIA contractors to provide money to defendant  
22 WILKES.

23 f. Defendant FOGGO provided defendant WILKES and other  
24 coconspirators with internal government information, including  
25 classified information, about the CIA, CIA contractors, and other  
26 matters, to help WILKES obtain money from the CIA and CIA contractors;  
27 despite the fact that defendant WILKES never had the requisite  
28

1 security clearance to receive classified information from defendant  
2 FOGGO.

3 **OVERT ACTS**

4 11. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects  
5 thereof, defendants FOGGO and WILKES committed, and caused to be  
6 committed, the following overt acts, among others, within the Southern  
7 District of California and elsewhere:

8 (1) By no later than December 2002, WILKES reserved  
9 an office for FOGGO near WILKES's own office in the executive suite  
10 of WILKES's companies' new Poway headquarters, and offered FOGGO a  
11 high-level, high-paying position in WILKES's companies, an offer which  
12 remained open and under consideration by FOGGO at all material times.

13 (2) On or about May 14, 2003, FOGGO sent WILKES an  
14 email stating in part as follows with respect to a certain CIA  
15 Contractor with whom FOGGO's Overseas Location had negotiated large  
16 contracts (hereinafter, "the CIA Contractor"): "I have been throwing  
17 millions at his company for about 18 months - and I'm thinking we  
18 should be able to leverage some Wilkes Group contacts."

19 (3) On or about June 17, 2003, FOGGO introduced WILKES  
20 to the CIA Contractor.

21 (4) On or about July 25, 2003, FOGGO concealed from  
22 a subordinate the identity and contact information of an associate  
23 (hereinafter, FOGGO's "Water Contact") that FOGGO knew could supply  
24 the Overseas Location with bottled water at a much lower price than  
25 the Overseas Location had been paying.

26 (5) On or about August 3, 2003, WILKES paid for FOGGO  
27 and his family to join WILKES and his family for a vacation in  
28 Scotland. This vacation included over \$12,000 in private jet flights,

1 over \$4,000 for a helicopter ride to a round of golf at Carnoustie,  
2 and over \$44,000 for a stay at the Pitcastle Estate, which included  
3 trout fishing on hill lochs, salmon fishing on the River Tay, clay  
4 pigeon shooting, archery, and a seven-person staff.

5 (6) On or about September 10, 2003, FOGGO sent WILKES  
6 an email titled "Scotland and Cigars," stating in part: "I'll work  
7 the water thing with [FOGGO's Water Contact] - but you sending a  
8 follow-up email is a good idea, I want to insure [sic] that B-  
9 connection is not forgotten....Group W is in this deal."

10 (7) On or about September 17, 2003, FOGGO sent an  
11 email to WILKES, stating that FOGGO's Water Contact was ready to work  
12 with WILKES, and that WILKES should "work the price" with the Water  
13 Contact "and then have a US firm (Group W?) fax to me an offer to sell  
14 at X price."

15 (8) On or about October 22, 2003, WILKES caused one  
16 of WILKES' assistants to send an email to a close associate and  
17 subordinate of WILKES (hereinafter, "Wilkes Subordinate X"), reminding  
18 Wilkes Subordinate X of tasks WILKES had assigned him in connection  
19 with the project to supply water to FOGGO's Overseas Location.

20 (9) In or about December 2003, at a party at WILKES's  
21 offices in Poway, WILKES introduced FOGGO to a group of employees as  
22 a future executive in WILKES's companies.

23 (10) In or about December 2003, at the same party,  
24 FOGGO told an ADCS employee in human resources that he wanted to "get  
25 a profile" on some other ADCS employees.

26 (11) From on or about December 27, 2003 to January 3,  
27 2004, FOGGO joined WILKES for a vacation at the "Sullivan Estate" in  
28 Haleiwa, Hawaii, for which WILKES paid approximately \$32,000.

1 (12) On or about January 7, 2004, immediately after his  
2 Hawaiian vacation with WILKES, FOGGO sent Wilkes Subordinate X an  
3 email with a subject line of "Re: Aloha," stating: "Had a great time  
4 - no diving, but still fun. I would like the 'President' or 'CEO' of  
5 '[entity]' to come visit. Brent told me that was you (smile), so lets  
6 [sic] get to it. I'll need to brief you a bit on how we need to play  
7 this, but that needs to be face to face, before you meet my people."

8 (13) On or about January 27, 2004, FOGGO met with  
9 WILKES, Wilkes Subordinate X, and the CIA Contractor at CIA  
10 headquarters.

11 (14) On or about January 27, 2004, at the above  
12 meeting, FOGGO told Wilkes Subordinate X that FOGGO would get Wilkes  
13 Subordinate X a procurement services contract with the CIA.

14 (15) On or about January 28, 2004, WILKES treated FOGGO  
15 to a dinner at the Capital Grille, for which WILKES paid \$1,195.96,  
16 of which FOGGO's pro rata share was approximately \$398.65.

17 (16) On or about January 28, 2004, the CIA Contractor  
18 entered into contracts with WILKES, agreeing to pay a WILKES company  
19 \$375,000 every three months for lobbying services; to form a joint  
20 venture with WILKES to explore non-CIA business; and to pay WILKES  
21 (through Group W Advisors, Inc.) 30 percent of the joint venture's net  
22 income in 2004, and 20 percent in subsequent years.

23 (17) On or about January 29, 2004, defendant WILKES  
24 (through Group W Advisors, Inc.) received \$375,000 from the CIA  
25 Contractor.

26 (18) On or about February 9, 2004, WILKES sent FOGGO  
27 an email asking FOGGO to suggest to the CIA Contractor that WILKES was  
28

1 playing a role in structuring a prospective CIA contract because doing  
2 so, "[d]oesn't cost you anymore but gives me a %."

3 (19) In or about late February 2004, WILKES and Wilkes  
4 Subordinate X traveled separately to FOGGO's Overseas Location to  
5 discuss the procurement services contract.

6 (20) On or about February 26, 2004, FOGGO instructed  
7 Wilkes Subordinate X not to tell other CIA employees about the long-  
8 standing personal relationship they had through WILKES, and instead  
9 to tell CIA employees that Wilkes Subordinate X and FOGGO met in a  
10 cigar bar in Washington, D.C.

11 (21) On or about February 26, 2004, FOGGO introduced  
12 Wilkes Subordinate X to lower-level CIA employees as someone who could  
13 assist them in procurement activities, and both FOGGO and Wilkes  
14 Subordinate X acted as if they were merely arms-length business  
15 associates.

16 (22) On or about February 28, 2004, in a meeting at  
17 FOGGO's home, FOGGO, WILKES, and Wilkes Subordinate X agreed that they  
18 needed to ensure that any procurement services business obtained from  
19 the Overseas Location could not be directly traced back to FOGGO and  
20 WILKES's relationship, and that WILKES would therefore take his share  
21 of the proceeds through subcontracts.

22 (23) On or about March 14, 2004, FOGGO sent an email  
23 to the CIA Contractor stating that he had discussed the CIA  
24 Contractor's recent classified contract proposal with WILKES, and  
25 stating further: "I must tell you - I am very pleased that you and  
26 Brent are working together."

27 (24) In or about March 2004, under the company name  
28 Archer Defense, which was then a part of ADCS, Wilkes Subordinate X

1 caused to be delivered to the Overseas Location a shipment of bottled  
2 water, for a price that was marked-up over 60% from the price FOGGO's  
3 Water Contact charged.

4 (25) On or about April 5, 2004, FOGGO filed and  
5 certified the truthfulness, completeness, and accuracy of a "Public  
6 Financial Disclosure Report" (Form SF-278) for calendar year 2003,  
7 which Report called for disclosure of (among other things) all gifts  
8 "received from one source totaling more than \$285" and agreements or  
9 arrangements for future employment, and from which Report FOGGO  
10 omitted any mention of (a) the thousands of dollars in benefits he  
11 received from WILKES in 2003, or (b) his job offer from WILKES.

12 (26) On or about April 5, 2004, in an email to a CIA  
13 ethics officer, to which email FOGGO attached his 2003 Form SF-278,  
14 FOGGO stated in part: "Greetings from [Overseas Location]. Having  
15 been the 'Ethic's [sic] Guy' in both the DS&T and the DA, I wish you  
16 the best with this annual exercise."

17 (27) On or about June 11, 2004, while visiting the  
18 Overseas Location to negotiate the procurement services contract,  
19 Wilkes Subordinate X treated FOGGO to a meal at a restaurant near the  
20 Overseas Location, for which Wilkes Subordinate X paid \$235.82, of  
21 which FOGGO's pro rata share was approximately \$117.91.

22 (28) On or about July 29, 2004, at WILKES's direction,  
23 Wilkes Subordinate X formed a new corporation of which he was  
24 nominally the only director, officer, or employee, hereinafter "Shell  
25 Company No. 1", to receive the procurement services contract from the  
26 CIA.

27 (29) On or about August 19, 2004, FOGGO sent emails to  
28 Wilkes Subordinate X, informing him that FOGGO had instructed his

1 deputy to "check and push [the procurement contract] along to insure  
2 completion" and also stating with regard to Wilkes Subordinate X's  
3 request for an advance payment of one-half the total amount of service  
4 fees for the procurement contract: "I can help with that. I'll work  
5 it."

6 (30) On or about September 16, 2004, FOGGO sent an  
7 email to WILKES regarding concerns that the CIA Contractor had raised  
8 about WILKES, stating: "As you know I do have influence with him [the  
9 CIA Contractor] and know I could get him to listen . . . that said if  
10 this issue is beyond repair in your mind - I am now, have been in the  
11 past, and will continue to as long as I breath [sic] - be your partner  
12 . . . so what do you want me to do?"

13 (31) On or about September 20, 2004, effective  
14 September 1, 2004, FOGGO caused the Overseas Location to enter into  
15 a one-year procurement services contract with Shell Company No. 1,  
16 with a firm fixed-price fee of \$1,699,904 for services to be provided.

17 (32) On or about September 23, 2004, FOGGO caused the  
18 CIA to wire-transfer to Shell Company No. 1 an \$850,000 advance  
19 payment on the procurement services contract.

20 (33) On or about September 24, 2004, Wilkes Subordinate  
21 X caused \$555,000 to be wire-transferred from Shell Company No. 1 to  
22 WILKES's companies.

23 (34) On or about October 28, 2004, without having  
24 received any additional service funds from the CIA, Wilkes Subordinate  
25 X caused \$150,000 more to be wire-transferred from Shell Company No.  
26 1 to WILKES's companies, bringing WILKES's direct share of the initial  
27 \$850,000 to \$705,000.

28

1 (35) On or about November 20, 2004, WILKES treated  
2 FOGGO to a meal at the Serbian Crowne restaurant, for which WILKES  
3 paid \$773.65, of which FOGGO's pro rata share was approximately  
4 \$257.88.

5 (36) On or about November 20, 2004, WILKES gave FOGGO  
6 an Ellie Bleu cigar humidor, which Wilkes Subordinate X had purchased  
7 for \$2,307.38 at WILKES's direction.

8 (37) On or about November 21, 2004, WILKES treated  
9 FOGGO to a meal at the Capital Grille in Tyson's Corner, Virginia, for  
10 which WILKES paid \$712.15, of which FOGGO's pro rata share was  
11 approximately \$237.38.

12 (38) On or about November 22, 2004, WILKES treated  
13 FOGGO to a meal at Ruth's Chris Steak House in Fairfax, Virginia, for  
14 which WILKES paid \$902.33, of which FOGGO's pro rata share was  
15 approximately \$225.58.

16 (39) On or about February 28, 2005, Wilkes Subordinate  
17 X caused \$110,000 to be wire-transferred from Shell Company No. 1 to  
18 WILKES's companies.

19 (40) On or about March 18, 2005, FOGGO sent an email  
20 to a bank loan officer stating in part: "I plan to retire in circa 3  
21 years - while I have a big offer from a company in California - I may  
22 stay in the area due to my worth to local companies...I guess I can't  
23 give you a firm answer - I would bet we will be elsewhere - which  
24 leads me to consider renting..."

25 (41) On or about March 31, 2005, WILKES sent Wilkes  
26 Subordinate X an email stating: "I talked to the big guy last night.  
27 He will sprinkle some magic dust today that should solve your problem.

28 BRW"

1 (42) On March 31, 2005, FOGGO sent an email to the  
2 acting head of the Overseas Location inquiring about delays in  
3 payments to Shell Company No. 1, resulting in three service fee  
4 payments totaling \$231,792 to Shell Company No. 1 in less than thirty  
5 days.

6 (43) On or about March 31, 2005, WILKES sent FOGGO an  
7 email thanking him for inquiring with the Overseas Location.

8 (44) On or about April 28, 2005, FOGGO filed and  
9 certified the truthfulness, completeness, and accuracy of a "Public  
10 Financial Disclosure Report" (Form SF-278) for calendar year 2004,  
11 which Report called for disclosure of (among other things) all gifts  
12 "received from one source totaling more than \$285" and agreements or  
13 arrangements for future employment, and from which Report FOGGO  
14 omitted any mention of (a) the thousands of dollars in benefits he  
15 received from WILKES in 2004, or (b) his job offer from WILKES.  
16 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

17 **Counts 2 through 8**

18 **HONEST SERVICES WIRE FRAUD**

19 12. Paragraphs 1 through 7 and 10 through 11 of this indictment  
20 are hereby realleged as if fully set forth herein.

21 13. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, and  
22 continuing through in or about April 2005, within the Southern  
23 District of California and elsewhere, defendants KYLE DUSTIN FOGGO,  
24 aka "Dusty" Foggo, and BRENT ROGER WILKES, devised and intended to  
25 devise a material scheme to defraud the United States and its citizens  
26 of defendant FOGGO's honest services, including their right to his  
27 loyal, faithful, disinterested, unbiased service, to be performed free  
28 of deceit, undue influence, conflict of interest, self-enrichment,

1 self-dealing, concealment, fraud, and corruption; said scheme more  
2 fully described elsewhere in this indictment.

3 WIRE TRANSMISSIONS IN EXECUTION OF THE SCHEME

4 14. On or about the dates set forth below (Column "A"), within  
5 the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendants KYLE  
6 DUSTIN FOGGO, aka "Dusty" Foggo, and BRENT ROGER WILKES, for the  
7 purpose of executing the above-described scheme to defraud,  
8 transmitted and caused to be transmitted in interstate commerce by  
9 means of wire communications, certain writings, signs, signals and  
10 sounds as alleged below (Column "B"):

	(A)	(B)	
11			
12	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TRANSMISSION</u>
13	2	5/14/03	Email (regarding leveraging CIA Contractor to give WILKES business) from defendant FOGGO, outside of California, to defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California.
14			
15	3	9/10/03	Email (regarding a potential contract to supply bottled water to the Overseas Location) from defendant FOGGO, outside of California, to defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California.
16			
17			
18	4	10/22/03	Email (regarding water supply tasks WILKES assigned to Wilkes Subordinate X), from an assistant to defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California, to Wilkes Subordinate X, outside of California.
19			
20			
21	5	1/7/04	Email (regarding how to "play" Wilkes Subordinate X's role in procurement contract with FOGGO's Overseas Location, "before you [Wilkes Subordinate X] meet my people") from defendant FOGGO, outside of California, to Wilkes Subordinate X, through San Diego County, California.
22			
23			
24			
25	6	2/9/04	Email (regarding FOGGO helping WILKES to obtain money from CIA Contractor) from defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California to defendant FOGGO, outside of California.
26			
27			
28			

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	(A)	(B)
<u>COUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TRANSMISSION</u>
7	9/16/04	Email (stating that FOGGO would be WILKES's "partner" for as long as FOGGO breathed) from defendant FOGGO outside of California to defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California.
8	3/31/05	Email (thanking FOGGO for expediting payments to Shell Company No. 1) from defendant WILKES, through San Diego County, California, to defendant FOGGO, outside of California.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 1346, and 2.

**Counts 9 through 11**

**MONEY LAUNDERING - UNLAWFUL MONETARY TRANSACTIONS**

15. Paragraphs 1 through 7 and 10 through 11 of this indictment are hereby realleged as if fully set forth herein.

16. On or about the dates set forth below (Column "A"), within the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendants KYLE DUSTIN FOGGO, aka "Dusty" Foggo, and BRENT ROGER WILKES, did knowingly engage and attempt to engage in a monetary transaction by, through, or to a financial institution, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000 as set forth below (Column "B"), such property having been derived from a Specified Unlawful Activity, that is, Honest Services Wire Fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 1346:

//  
//  
//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	(A)	(B)
	<u>Count</u>	<u>Date</u>
		<u>Transaction</u>
	9	9/24/04
		Wire transfer of \$555,000 in government contract funds from the Virginia bank account of Shell Company No. 1 to a San Diego account of defendant WILKES's companies.
	10	10/28/04
		Wire transfer of \$150,000 in government contract funds from the Virginia bank account of Shell Company No. 1 to a San Diego account of defendant WILKES's companies.
	11	2/28/05
		Wire transfer of \$110,000 in government contract funds from the Virginia bank account of Shell Company No. 1 to a San Diego account of defendant WILKES's companies.


All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1957 and 2.


DATED: February 13, 2007.


A TRUE BILL:

Foreperson

CAROL C. LAM  
United States Attorney

By:   
SANJAY BHANDARI  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

By:   
JASON A. FORGE  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

By:   
PHILLIP L.B. HALPERN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

I hereby attest and certify on 2.13.07  
That the foregoing document is a full, true  
copy of the original on file in my office in  
custody.  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
By: 